App. F. IX-4.

1925.

Previous references. (Misc)

File No. 21 — 25 —

Serial Nos. 13 ~~14~~ /15 —

Later references. 9-12 — 2 E-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 13215 —

Part B for Oct 26

SUBJECT

Re Amalgamating Gen & Revenue Secretariat

G. R. S. 11] 1926-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mucs)

NOTES.

File No. 211 - 26

FILE HEADING.

Bonuses to Mr. Muthuswamy Ayyar, Special Duty Officer - Mucs Dept.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

9. P.No. 41
10-7-26

Ltd.

The Muzrai Commr has informed me that the Govt have passed a remuneration of Rs 2250 for all that I have done to determine the balances of Muzrai Inst[itutions]

Reading the observations of the Govt also in the Muzrai Commrs D.O. No. it appears to me that in passing the orders in question, the Govt have not had a full & correct statement of the facts relating to the work done by me subsequent to April 1922

I have explained the situation both to the Law Councillor & to the Muzrai Commr and with

1) Revenue Secy. to Govt.,
with compliments for favour of a note.

B.O
MSK 10/7/26
P.S.D.

2) Put up this file
CRM
12-7-26

12/7

3) The file is submitted with draft letter as ordered by the Member
CRM
12-7-26

4) Rev. Secy. 12/7

Please put a typed note on the case with particular reference to the representations in Govt's note and also with Rs's letter. The whole should be examined thoroughly
CRM
12-7-26

1

there and permission,
I am submitting the pre-
sent in due official
Course, a representation
explaining the circum
stances of the case and I request
that the same will be
graciously pleased to have
due consideration of the
same - Pending further orders I beg
~~In the mean while, I beg~~.
that I may be kindly
excused from receiving
payment of the amounts
now passed in final
Settlement of my claims -.

In the mean while I have
applied for an advance of Rs 500 only.
to meet the emergent requirements
of the functions relating to my sixtieth Birthday
on 21st inst - & I beg that it may be
kindly sanctioned -.

9-7-26 A.

264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 211—25 of 192

Serial No

b) a detailed typed note as desired is submitted for kind personal orders.

Rev. Secy 29/7

24.7.26

Rec'd 28/7

7) Please see Paras 13 & 14 of the Note ~~[illegible]~~ of Mr Narayanaya Iyer sent with the M's letter dated 6.1.26. Mr Iyer therein refers to a Note prepared by him in July 1922 and certain discussions with the Fin Secretary. Where is the file relating to that discussion

ERS
29.7.26

8) Please see the routine note of the Supdt. put up herewith.

A letter received from Mr M. Iyer to the address of the Rev. Secy. in this connection, requesting for a hearing, is also submitted herewith, for perusal

Rev. Secy 29/7/26

9) Maybe put up after the representation is received from the M/C.

ERS
3.8.26

Note—Contn. Sheet

N O T E .

The Special Duty of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer.

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer having retired from 26-7-1921, his services were in G.O. No. 1-10/Muz.618-20-2 dated 1-7-1921 re-engaged from that date up to 30-11-21 on a salary of Rs. 285 p.m. to do the following items of work:-

(1.) To examine the credits and debits of minor Muz. Institutions, as entered in the accounts of the several Taluks and Districts both in the Muz. & Treasury Departments and in the books of the Comptroller's Office from the period for which these accounts were last verified, as reviewed in G.O. No. 2892-901 dated 19-3-1904, and to fix the balances available at the credit of each individual institution on 30-6-1920, re-conciling all differences between the local and the Comptroller's accounts in communication with the Comptroller.

(2) Examining the credits and debits of the funds of Sri Sivaganga and Vyasaraya Mutts both under the direct management of Govt. and to fix the correct balances of savings at the credit of each of these Mutts.

2. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was allowed T.A. at the rates admissible to Assistant Commissioners, his request about compensation

for loss of privilege leave being considered on the completion of the above work to the satisfaction of Govt. by the date specified. He was also given a clerical establishment which he had applied for.

3. The work could not be completed by the date specified above (30-11-1921), as the Taluk and District Officers had failed to maintain accounts in a proper manner and Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer had to work out the figures from original Muz. Barawards and Treasury registers month by month and the special duty had to be extended from time to time in the Govt. orders noted in the* margin.

*G.O. No.3511-21/Muz.463-21-1 dated 10-1-1922, up to end of January 1922. (7C D).
G.O. No. 5145-55 dated 28-3-22 up to end of March 1922 (7C E)
G.O.No.6490-500/Muz.463-21-7 dated 18-5-1922 up to end of April 1922. (7C T).

× S

4. In his note dated 1-5-1922, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer said that the Taluk and District Offices did not maintain any accounts, that the Comptroller had mixed up accounts of major and Minor institutions, Hindu and Mahomedan Institutions &c., that therefore he had to compile accounts for all institutions, month by month, from Bills, acquittance rolls, Treasury Cash Books and such other sources as he could get in the Taluk records. He stated and said as follows at the end of the note -

> "From the above, it will be seen how I have come to the closing stage of the work and its completion is within sight, as all that has to be done is to compile and consolidate the collected piece meal, a purely ~~finally~~ clerical work, I venture to submit, that with some extra clerical help from the Muz. Supdt's Office, the special duty may be determined a e long even before the close of this month" (May 1922)

(Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao)

On this note the then Membe of Council was pleased to Minute, on 3rd May 1922, as follows:-

S

" I have gone through the note and -- also discussed the position with Mr. Mrityunja Iyer who came and saw me yesterday about it. Mr. Mrityunja Iyer's extension period expired on 30th April, but it - - would be an advantage to get the work begun by him completed also by him. Mr. Mrityunja Iyer offers to complete the work and submit his final note without claiming any pay for the additional work period and this offer may, I think, be accepted. As regards the Special Establishment working under him, its period may be extended up to end of the cur ent month. Permanent hands ill then be reverted to their permanent posts and the temporary hands sent away."

6 The Special Establishment was disbanded at the end of May 1922, and Mr. Mrityunja Iyer continued to work with two Accountants of the Muzrai Superintendent's Office, - which became the Muzrai Commissioner's - Office from August 1922. He finished the Sivaganga Matt accounts, but could not - - take up the Vyasaraya Matt accounts. In the meantime, he was entrusted with the - examination of the accounts of the Gumbaz, (for which he has been paid a separate - - remuneration of Rs. 350 from the funds of that institution)

7 Kind reference is invited to Mr. - - Mrityunjaya Iyer's note dated 30th Decerle 1925 in which he explains how he continued

X A

to be engaged on Special Duty, and how in addition to the determination of balances of Minor Institutions, he was also entrusted by the then Muzrai Commissioner to determine the balances of the Major Institutions as well.

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer continued to do the Special Work of compiling the figures, and by the end of June 1925 he is reported to have completed the compilation of the statements and determined the balances of institutions on 30th June 1920. His first important report, embodying the result of the Special Duty was submitted to Muzrai Commissioner on 30th July 1923 which was referred by him to the Comptroller for scrutiny and verification. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's further work was connected with the tracing of untraced items and the verification of his figures with those of the Comptroller, and furnishing explanation in respect of the points raised by the Comptroller.

In the meantime, the work of compiling the debits and credits of Muzrai Institutions for the subsequent five years, viz. from 1920-21 to 1924-25, was entrusted to a special staff in the Comptroller's Office, and the statements, compiled by them and submitted to Government, were referred by the Conference - - appointed to scrutinise the statements compiled by the Special Duty Officer and the Comptroller), to Mr. Mrityunja Iyer who was requested to consolidate the figures of the latter five years with those of the previous period for which he had compiled the statements on certain lines indicated by the Conference. The several notes submitted subsequently by Mr. Mrityunja Iyer

(Consisting of Muzrai Commissioner, Revenue Secretary, Comptroller and Special Duty Officer

(Mr. M. Iyer's Notes).

which are indicated in the files placed below, relate to the tracing of certain untraced items or furnishing explanations in answer to the criticisms of the Comptroller on his report.

10 The final note submitted by him to the Muzrai Commissioner is that dated 28th October 1925 when Mr. Mrityunja Iyer's work was practically completed.

11 As for the Special duty work entrusted to Mr. Mrityunja Iyer, he has done all the work entrusted to him with the exception of the examination of the accounts of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, whose estates were handed over to His Holiness the Swamigalu's management from July 1922. But he was entrusted by the then Muzrai Commissioner with the compilation of accounts of Major Institutions which he has done.

12 As regards the remuneration payable to him, it is submitted that he drew a pay of Rs. 225 per mensem up to end of April 1922, and that he offered to do further work without remuneration. But kind reference is invited to Mr. Mrityunja Iyer's note dated 30th December 1925, paras 13 and 14 where he says that a remuneration of Rs. 1,000 was personally offered to him by the Muzrai Secretary, in addition to the compensation for privilege leave surrendered by him, and that he agreed to it. There are no papers in the office regarding this. An advance of Rs. 750 was paid to him in

accordance with the G.O. No. 1050-1/Muz. × W
171-23-2, dated 6th February 1924, "pending final orders of Government about the bonus to be paid to Him."

/3 The papers regarding the question of remuneration to Mr. Mrityunja Iyer having gone up to the Second Member, he was pleased to fix a remuneration of Rs. 1,500 exclusive of the advance already paid to him and the Dewan Sahib was pleased to agree to this ~~remuneration~~ recommendation. (Vide Second Member's × Minute dated 25th June 1926 and the Dewan Sahib's Order thereon, × D1 pages 21--23 in the notes of M.F.211-25)

/4 Mr. Mrityunja Iyer says in his representation dated 9th July 1926 to the Dewan Sahib that he would be submitting a further representation on the subject explaining the circumstances, and in the meantime, he asked for an advance of Rs. 500. His advance of Rs 500 has been ~~which was~~ ordered to be drawn & paid to him, and the Municipal Commissioner has been requested to send up Mr. Mrityunja Iyer's further representation with his views. This is awaited } JE U

27.7.26 29/7

Serial No 13

From the Mag Conr? No C. 226 of 22-23 & G. 8. 26 Submits the representation of Mr. Mukhyagra &c in regard to the bonus due to him.

10) Mr. Mukhyagra's case detailed note in regard to his work, recd from the Mag Conr, is submitted for kind perusal. His note of July 1922 which he refers to, in para 3 is not traceable, either in this office or in the Mag Conr's office where a diligent search was made again after receipt of this note. But after a great deal of search in the Comptroller's office, a copy of the Comptroller's D. note No. 319-T.R.-B & 3.8.22 has been traced & puts up for kind perusal, in which the Comptroller says that his office could not undertake the work entrusted to Mr. M. &c and that "the remuneration of Rs 1,000/- proposed "the Mwr Supdt. appears to be reasonable". This has been obtd from the Comptroller's office in original & sub?, but there are no other papers connd with it. Even there it was pointed out as evidently "personal favour" remuneration that an advance of Rs 750/- was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1050-I/Mwr 171-23-2 & 6-2-24 "pending final orders of Govt as to the bonus to be paid to him"

XI

XW

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 192

Serial No

1) In para 20 of his note, he summarises his representations, in which he says that the offer of his services free of Charge was for work done in May & June and that he took up work again on a settled understanding that he should be paid a remuneration of Rs 1000/- in addn. Compensation for Privilege leave surrender and further that while he completed his work in June 1923, the delay in completing the work was due to the verification of the Statts in the Comptroller's office. He also says that he did addl. work under the orders of the Hon'ble Chief Commr. for 11 months as follows:—

4 months - July to Oct. 23. Tracing work accepted
3 months - April to June 23 Scrutiny of Statts prepared by the comptroller
4 months Dec. 25 to March 26 - Addl work assigned by the Conference

and that he "had simply to wait during the "other 20 months in tip-toe of Expectations of "References & orders"

2) In para 21 of the note, Mr. M. Iyer analyses his claim for remuneration.

According to orders recently issued in the matter, ~~Rs 2250 was~~ his work was assessed at Rs 2250 and excluding the amounts drawn by him in advance from time to time, a net sum of Rs 1500 was ordered to be paid to him in full adjustment of his claim. (Vide the Govt Memo No 32/Secy 211-25-9 dt 7-7-26)

T.C.

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

And out of this amt. An advance of Rs 500 was allowed to be drawn by him in Mem. Office letter No 67/ Rev: 211-25-12 of 12.7.26.

14) Submitted for orders. Reffe:- Para 9 above.

Bds 17.8.26 Rev. Secy) 18/8

X U.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25

Serial No.

15) In his representation requesting a reconsideration of the Government Order dated 7th July 1926, fixing his total remuneration at - Rs.2,250, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer takes exception to certain portions of the Order. He states that the work that remained to be done after the termination of his special duty in April 1922 was much more than merely collating informa-tion already collected and that he had not offered his services free of charge.

16) The words used in the order in regard to the nature of the work that remained to be done are taken from his own note submitted to the First Member on 1st May 1922. In the last paragraph of the note, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer states as follows:-

Flag E (File No 463 of 1921-22)

> "From the above it will be seen how I have come to the closing stage of the work and its completion is within sight. As all that is to be done is to compile and consolidate the collated information piece-meal which is a purely clerical work, I venture to submit that with some extra clerical help from the Muzrai Superintendent's office, the special duty may be determined ere long, even before the close of this month."

Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer can have no complaint if the work remaining to be done is described in his own words; but the fact seems to be that a good deal more than what Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer

Serial No.

stated at the time had remained to be done and that very little, excepting the preparation of rough notes, was done during the nine months of special duty which had elapsed and during which he had received a remuneration at Rs. 225 a month.

(17) In regard to the offer of his services free of charge, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer says that this was only for a period of 2 months and refers to a note he submitted in July 1922. The First Member of Council, in his minute dated 1st May 1925 has stated as follows:-

Flags (File No 463 of 21-22)

"Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's extension period expired on the 30th April. It would be an advantage to get the work begun by him completed also by him. - - Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer offers to complete the work and submit his final note without claiming any pay for the additional period and this offer may, I think, be accepted.

It is clear therefore, that in May 1925 - Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer offered his services free of charge to complete the work. He however, stated that two months later, he put up another note saying that the work would take another four or five months and requesting suitable remuneration for it. This note is not available in the file either in the Secretariat or in the Muzrai Commissioner's

Serial No

office. A copy of the note has however, been furnished by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer along with his representation. He says that on receipt of the note, the Muzrai Commissioner promised an honorarium of Rs.1,000 for completing the work and the First Member of Council asked him to go ahead with the work: but no orders of Government were passed on the case. It seems however, from a note obtained from the ~~Muzrai Commissioner~~ Comptroller's office (Flag I) that the Muzrai ~~Commissioner~~ Superintendent promised a remuneration of Rs.1,000 for this work.

Flag I

(8) Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer says that his work was further swelled under the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner who asked him to take up the work relating to major institutions also. It would appear that no remuneration was fixed for this work. No orders of Government were obtained for giving additional work to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer.

(9) The orders of Government fixing Mr. Iyer's remuneration are based on the entire work done by him subsequent to his retirement in July 1921. The total period was 56 months of which 36 months was considered as period of active work for which a remuneration at Rs.100 per mensem was fixed and the balance of 20 months as period of waiting for which a sum at Rs.50 per mensem was considered sufficient. The total amount due for the period was Rs.4620

and Revenue retariat | File No. 2-11 of 1925.

Serial No.

and the allowances drawn by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer already, including his pay for 9 months, the fee for examining the Gumbaz account and the advance already drawn by him amounted to Rs.3,169, the balance remaining to be paid being Rs.1,451. It was decided that Rs.1,500 may be paid to him in final settlement of his claims. It will be seen further that - - Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has been paid for all the period for which he worked excluding the period for which he was merely waiting. The only point on which the orders may be re-considered is in, perhaps, excluding the period of 9 months and 6 days during which he was employed on special duty and also the period on which he was employed in preparing the Gumbaz accounts and for which he has already been paid.

According to his representation, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's work, excluding the periods for which he was already paid for, is as follows:-

(1) 12 months from July 1922 to July '23
(2) 11 months' additional work at intervals.
(3) 20 months' waiting.

As minuted by the First Member already, a remuneration at Rs.100 per mensem may be given for the 12 months of active work and at Rs.50 per mensem for the 20 months during which -

Serial No.

Mr. Iyer is reported to have waited for instructions from the Comptroller, Muzrai Commissioner etc. Perhaps, a remuneration at Rs.75 a month may be given for the period during which he was partially employed. The total amount payable to him will be Rs.1,200 plus Rs.825 plus Rs.1,000 i.e. Rs.3,025.

2) Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer also claims compensation for privilege leave denied to him when he was employed on special duty. The history of this question is as follows:- when Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was informed that he would be retired, he applied for privilege leave of 2 months and 16 days to which he considered he was entitled; but on a promise of his re-engagement for special work, he withdrew the claim for privilege leave. But again he submitted another note requesting that a bonus, in lieu of privilege leave, may be sanctioned to him. The Financial Secretar noted that privilege leave is not strictly due and to allow a bonus as compensation for not being given privilege leave would not be right. But however, in Govt. Order sanctioning Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's re-engagement, compensation for loss of privilege leave was promised on the completion of the work to the satisfaction of Government by the dates specified. In his claim for privilege leave, in file No.618 of 1920-21, Mr. Mrityunjayaiye

Page 31 (File 618 of 1920-21)

Page 36

Page 42

Flag R

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25
[App. F. III—2

and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 211 of 1925.

Serial No

has restricted his claim only to half the pay i.e. Rs.225, and the total amount claimed is Rs.562-8-0 (vide note of the Muzrai Secretary, page 41 in the file). If this amount is also decided to be paid to him, the total amount will be Rs.3,587-8-0. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has already drawn two advances of Rs.750 and Rs.500. The balance that will be payable to him according to the above calculation will be Rs.2,337-8-0. Perhaps a sum of Rs.2,000 may be paid in full satisfaction of his claim.

No 2212.

2nd member)
21.9.26.
vv) I do not think it necessary to reconsider the orders already passed. I think the amount Sd is sufficient remuneration for the Work. There is no use in pressing particular claims and for particular periods or contending that Calculations Sd be made in this Way or that. Whether what has been paid and decided to be paid, however calculated, is not adequate remuneration is the material point. A very large Sum has been Spent on this investigation and this Mr might to add to it. We might be informed that Govt Consider that it is not necessary to increase the amt which has been fixed as the payable & Sum balance of remuneration due

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

202

(13)

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud. File No 211 of 1925.

Serial No.

1) With reference to the Note of the Private secretary to the Dewan requesting a Note on Mr Muthyunjaya Iyer's representation regarding his remuneration in Para 1 above. I beg to submit the file for perusal

2) The typed Note above explains the facts of the case Mr Muthyunjaya Iyer's representation is also enclosed

3) Action will be taken as directed by the 2nd member in this minute dated 27 9 26

CRS 27.9.2 K 27/9

Dewan)

27. 9. 26

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Received on 27. SEP. 1926 Reg. No 2330.

1) Submitted for perusal of Dewan's orders

CRS 28.9.26 K 28/9

2nd member)

CRS 28/9/26 draft- CRS 28/9/26 K 28/9

A draft is submitted for approval

CRS 29.9.26.

2nd member) 30.9.26

Issue CRS 30.9.26 30/9

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 27 SEP 1926 No 2429.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 SEP 1926 No 2449.

NOTE Contn. Sheet

Golden Rock, Trichinopoly,
31st July, 1926.

SECRETARIAT.
AUG 1926
MUZRAI
D. 1443
AUG. 19
S. D. SE T

ear S r,

shtipurthi' celebrations passed off very well at - - Cuddalore and just as I was preparing to return to Bangalore, I received a letter from my son Electric Engineer, S.I.Ry., here that his son a child of four had badly scalded his leg by upsetting some boiling water and that my help was urgently needed. So I came here two days ago. The child is getting better.

Re., my bonus I have sent my note of representations to the the Muzarai Commissioner by post yesterday. Though I have explained all matters in full detail, yet it will be a satisfaction to me if I am given an opportunity of a personal hearing before any opinion is formed either by you or the Member of Council.

I therefore request that you will be pleased to give me such an opportunity atleast as matter of satisfaction to me personally though this may delay the disposal by perhaps a week.

I expect to be back at Bangalore by Thursday next.

I request you will kindly ~~kkk~~ place this request of mine before the Member also.

With kind regards,

Yours Sincerely,

A. Doraiswamy J

C. Renganatha Rao Saheb Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Muzarai Secretary to Government,

Ilea to Perusal
Gen Golden Rock. 10/8

2nd member)
Sri

I trust you received
of my letter of 1st inst.
from Child is has just left
his uppers - and before
returning to Bangalore I wish
complete my work this side
for some time, so that I might
if need be or required, stay
Bangalore for some time to
attend to some matters relating to
Inm Irai accounts, Quit Rent Rep.
& - about which the Member Casually
told me @ Bangalore -

I am therefore going to Swami
today. (to the Cumbaconam) for
the Mudi of the Children and shall
be back here on Thursday. D.V
I came this on Friday night.
And shall be @ Bangalore
on Sunday, 2nd morning.

I regret the delay in my return
Bangalore under unforseen
circs &c. I shall thank you to send
this letter unto the Member if
you deem fit. Yours sincerely
with kind regds
C. Ramanadha Rao Sahib Esq. A. Narasimha Iyer.

No. C 226 & 22-23 encl one file Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 7th August 1926.

9.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 9 AUG. 1926 G. D. SECTION To.

GEN. & REV. SECRET 10 AUG 19[illegible] MUZRAI

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

D. 202
mag 211-26-13

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.67/Muz.211-25-12 dated -- the 12th July 1926, in re the remuneration to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for his special duty, I have the honor to submit herewith for --- consideration the representation received from Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

B. Ramaswamaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

N O T E.

With reference to the Muzarai Commissioner's Demi Official of 8th instant and the subsequent communication from the Government dated 12th instant in the matter of my remuneration for the special duty work, I respectfully beg leave to submit the following representation of my case for gracious consideration.

2. In the Demi Official, the observations of the Government in regard to the nature of the work done by me subsequent to April 1922 and the circumstances under which I did all the work are -- quoted in the following words " At the close of the period (April 1922) it was reported that the work remaining to be done was merely collating information already collated and was purely of a clerical nature. Further it was understood that Mr. Mritunjaya Ayyar offered his services for supervising this work free of charge". These observations of the Government are really surprising. To my knowledge they have been placed on record for the first time at this stage and I cannot conceive how the report on which the observations are based could have originated in the face of records specifically evidencing to the contrary and of my oft repeated representations in the matter.

3. First as regards my claim for remuneration:-

To clear matters beyond all doubt, I would solicit reference to a Note submitted by me in July 1922 and to the records of discussion thereon. I submit herewith a copy of that note for ready reference. (A)

By way of an explanation of the circumstances relating to this Note, I beg that the selection of the file ending with -- Government Order No. 6185-C dated 4-5-1922 may be kmndly perused. I submit also a copy of this order for ready reference. (B)

4 Perusing these papers, it will be seen how on being suddenly told to stop work on the last day of April 1922 I submitted a

Note explaining how the compilation of credits and debits till then collated from Taluk records ~~then~~ stood in a voluminous mass of rough notes and statements and how it was very essential to tabulate these in a form that could be finally perused to a satisfactory finish by the Comptroller, and, feeling it my duty to do all the needful in this respect before handing over the papers to the Comptroller, I offered to do it if the Government would continue the services of the Special Duty Establishment for a month, myself not claiming any salary for this additional period. The Government accepted this offer. The work however took about two months, during which time I submitted my final report and statement relating to the accounts of ~~Sivaganga~~ Sivaganga Mutt and also worked out the accounts of Hasan District as an example as to how the compilations of all the other districts should be dealt with so as to materialise the results. I then took up the accounts of Tumkoor District as an additional example and as the work was in progress I submitted the aforesaid Note to the Government for consideration andorders. In this Note I have distinctly stated as follows:-

"As for completing the work of the other districts, I leave the matter to the pleasure of the Government. If it be the pleasure of the Government that I should myself complete the work, I shall submit to the request and I only request that the Government will be graciously pleased to grant me some honorarium in consideration of the costly life of Bangalore in addition to the bonus already granted for the privilege leave surrendered when I retired from service in July last.

X X X

"But if the Government ~~are~~ Should prefer ..... to leave the remaining work into the hands of the Comptroller I shall proceed to wound up my work here and hand over to him all the statements and other compilations"

5 The question was then discussed at some length. As under the

circumstances of the case, I was particularly kept openly informed of the course of the discussion at every stage, I venture to -- submit with confidence how matters had developed to my knowledge. The Muzarai Superintendent and Secretary to Government discussed with me the nature and extent of the work to be done and estimated that the whole would take about 4 or 5 months, and roughly calculating my remuneration at Rs.225/- a month, he offered to give me -- Rs.1000/- in addition to the compensation for the privilege leave surrendered as stipulated by me at the very outset of the special duty. I agreed to this. The matter was referred to the Comptroller. The Comptroller after explaining what all work remained to be done said that it was not possible for this office to undertake the work and that the remuneration of Rs.1000/- proposed by the Muzarai Superintendent for the work appeared to him as reasonable. The Financial Secretary was also consulted and at the end I was personally asked by the then First Councillor -- (Mr. P.Raghavendra Rao) and the Secretary to resume further work. Though the special duty establishment was abolished at the close of June 1922, when my offer of free work had also ceased yet, on my being asked to resume further work myself, the services of the same accountants were given to me as on deputation from the Muzarai Superintendent's office. Perhaps it would have been prudent in the present circumstances if I had, before resuming work armed myself with a formal written order; but in the disciplinary respect that I owed to the members of the Government, I could not bring myself to make such a demand. In the zeal and -- pressure of the subsequent ~~ex~~ work, I did not even ask for it, as it did not strike me that the want of a written order would prejudice my claim, particularly as all my further work was duly -- taken official cognizance of by all the Members of the Government and the Comptroller too, who all afforded me every facility and access to records necessary to my work. In thechange of the Muzarai administration from the Superintendent to the Commissioner which then took place, the papers appear to have been filed by the

Secretariat without realising the necessity of issuing a formal order to close the File with reference to my Note.

6. Anyway the following facts are clearly on record:- Viz.,

1. That after two months of free work in May and June 1922, I withdrew from all further work, leaving it to the pleasure of the Government to decide how the institution balances should be worked out on the data collated and tabulated by me and stating that that, if it was the pleasure of the Government that I should myself complete the work, I should be given some honorariumin addition to the bonus already -- promised for the privilege leave surrendered by me.

2. that thereupon I was offered by the Muzarai Superintendent a remuneration of Rs.1000/- for the work in addition to the compensation for privilege leave as specifically requested by me.

3. that the Comptroller who was unofficially consulted on the file recorded that it was not possible for his office to do all the further work as detailed by him and that the remuneration of Rs.1000/- proposed was reasonable;

and 4. that thereupon I was asked to resume work, the services of the accountants being placed at my disposal.

7 It will thus be seen that, after my special duty on regular monthly salary ceased in April 1922, my offer to do work without claiming salary was only to the very limited extent of putting into shape the statements and notes then in rough sheets in the course of a month. The work, however, took about two months; at the end of this period I laid down my work; and all the work which I subsequently did was on a distinct promise of a bonus of Rs.1000/ for the work which remained to be done at the time in addition to the compensation for privilege leave surrendered.

his work was however swelled to a very great extent under circumstances explained infra and the whole was completed by the end of ~~June~~ June 1923. I then waited upon the Dewan, on or about 13th June 1923, and tendered a note explaining how I had completed all the work and requesting consideration in the question of the bonus agreeably to the terms of my note of July 1922 and orders I had received thereon from the then First Councillor. The Dewan promised an early consideration. The same request was repeated by me in my Final Note which I submitted to the Muzarai Commissioner on 30th July 1923 with all the statements of balances compiled by me in the two forms prescribed. The Muzarai Commissioner however put off my request for the settlement of the remuneration, saying that the statements should all be examined by the Comptroller and that in the meanwhile I might attend to the work of tracing the items of credits and debits marked as untraced in the final statements. Subsequently, in December 1923, ~~seeing that the Comptroller's work would take some considerable time~~, I applied for an advance of Rs.750/- to meet some pressing domestic needs and the Government were pleased to sanction the payment of the amount as an advance payment of a portion of the bonus or honorarium expected by me for the special work, the statements compiled being then under verification by the Comptroller. I submit for ready reference a copy of this order. Perusing the order, no. 1050-1 dated 6th February 1924, it will be seen how the Government have therein practically recognised in unmistakable terms an expectation of mine for bonus or honorarium for my special work as also my request for compensation for privilege leave preferred by me at the very outset and repeated by me in my note of July 1922. Now to say that it was understood that I had offered my services free of charge is giving to the whole affair a turn not consistent with the recorded requests of mine or the hopes extended to me thereon by the Government.

Turning now to the nature and extent of work done after April 1922, the observation of the Government that it was merely

collating information already collected and was purely of a clearical nature is equally a surprise to me. If really it was so simple as this, the Muzarai Superintendent would not have proposed such a large bonus of Rs.1000/- nor would the Comptroller have supported it as reasonable declaring that the work was such as was not possible for his office to undertake. The work was really more onerous and responsible as would be seen from what the Comptroller himself has recorded about it for the information alike of the Government and myself at the time. I quote the words of his "unofficial" on my Note of July 1922 .

"The figures of this office are based on the treasury credits and debits and have to be reconciled with the personal accounts of the institution maintained by the administrators -- of the institutions. This work has been entrusted to Mr. Mritunjay Ayyar and it may be continued and completed by him till he determines the final balance of each Muzarai institution in the State. He may thereafter forward to this office a statement of differences and discrepancies observed for adjustment or rectification and final adoption of the balance worked out by him with any modifications that might be necessary. It will not be possible for this office to undertake the work now entrusted to Mr. Mritunjaya Ayyar"

10. The work originally related to the determination of the balances of minor Institutions only; and it was this that remained to be completed on the lines indicated by the Comptroller. It was sufficiently heavy in itself. As the work neared completion I placed my papers before the Muzarai Commissioner Mr. M.R.Srinivasa Ayyangar; he said that the better plan would be to extend the final compilations and determination of balances to the -- Major Institutions also so that the totals of credits and debits year by year and taluk by taluk may stand readily reconciled with the books of the Comptroller's office. To render the compilations complete in all respects he also directed that they should be got up in two forms, one noting the debits and

credits of every institution year by year from 1901 to 1919-20 nd the other exhibiting in abstract the opening balance of 1900 he totals of subsequent credits and debits of twenty years and he closing balances of June 1920-institution by institution. The omptroller who was informally consulted accepted the suggestions and had a large number of the two forms ruled by his own press.

// Subh eloborate compilations prescribed at this stage swelled the magnitude of my work to a very great extent. Apart from the stupendous task of simply getting up such detailed statements in respect of over 3300 institutions with cross and vertical totals agreeing to the pie, I was forced into the necessity of working out afresh institution details for the balance of Rs.30,605 -- booked in the Comptroller's Ledger in a lump sum as the balance of the funds of certain major institutions under the head "temporary management" On 30th June 1920--apart from regular Muzarai Surplus Funds-in pursuance of an order of Government issued in October 1896. This practically meant a fresh work of reconstructing the accounts of these institutions from 1896 to 1900, and for this purpose, I had even to go to Hyderabad with the special sanction of the Government. Again I was greatly handicapped by the want of ready information about the exact ~~xxxx~~ grants paid year by year, as no regular record had been maintained by any office in respect of Transfer of Grants, from taluk to taluk, Lapses, and additional fresh grants sanctioned since 1894 when the registers were last reprinted by the Government. I had to collate the information required, step by step, with great difficulty from stray notes recorded here and there. Practically I had to compile a complete Registers of Cash Grants revised up to June 1920. At my suggestion these have been taken up for reprint . In short the work actually turned out went far in excess of the task which I had to do when I resumed work, in July 1922, and if I submitted to all these additional informations on the mere verbal instructions of the Muzarai Commissioner it was because that neither the Muzarai -- Commissioner nor myself was then in a position to estimate the

magnitude of the expanded work in respect of time or labour and the question of the remuneration had therefore to be tacitly reserved on both sides. For my own part I had all along relied on the Government extending a generous and adequate recognition of my work after actual completion.

2. The Government have observed:-

"The work has however dragged on up to March 1926, a good part of the time having been spent by Mr. Mritunjaya Ayyar in merely waiting for instructions"

The protraction of the work has not been due to any fault of mine; on the other hand, I completed my task so far back as June 1923 and there was no need for my waiting for instructions. In this connection I beg to invite reference to para 83 of the Note submitted by me to the Muzarai Commissioner in July 1923 with the final statements of credits and debits and balances. I submit an extract for ready reference. In that note, I very clearly stated that so far as I was concerned I had completed all that I could have done personally and that for purposes of reconciliation, I would leave all the drafts of my compilations and other records with Accountant Mr. Subba Rao who was familiar with them and was competent to clear any errors, discrepancies and points of doubt which might be discovered, and asked too for the settlement of my remuneration. But the Muzarai Commissioner said that the statements should be examined by the Comptroller and I was asked to keep myself engaged in tracing the items noted as "Untraced".

13 In the meanwhile the statements were passed on to the Comptroller. Referring to the differences between these statements and the Comptroller's Ledgers, I had in my note clearly explained how, for want of proper ledgers or other separate accounts of Muzarai transactions up to 1906--1907 particularly for the five years from 1900-1 to 1904-5, I had reconstructed these accounts

on the subsidiary books of the Comptroller's office supplemented by Taluk Barawards and Treasury Books and worked out the balances institutionwar at the end of June 1907, how these balances in District Totals varied from the figures adopted as balances on 1st July 1907 in the Muzarai Legers, opened for the first time with effect from 1907-8, the differences however vanishing in the Provincial Total and how, in the accounts of the subsequent years from 1907-8 I had closely adhered to the Comptroller's Muzarai Ledger figures of credits and debits month by month in details of major and minor institutions of Talukwar. In these circumstances, the Comptroller had only to satisfy himself that I had gone on right lines in reconstructing the accounts of the seven years from 1900-1 to 1906-7; for, once the method was accepted as correct, I should have taken my discharge at once, leaving it to the Comptroller to correct any errors of omission and commission in the figures of the Compilations. In these circumstances I suggested that the Comptroller might be asked to depute one of his assistants to sit with me for a few days and examine how I had constructed the accounts from the General Ledgers down to the Taluk Barawards. The Government accepted the suggestion and issued an order accordingly. But when I went to the Comptroller's office, the Deputy Comptroller referred the whole to this Superintendent and Accountants of the Muzarai section; and these took up the work in their own way, dispensing altogether with my presence; On this I had only to keep on waiting replying to references now and then sent to me in writing through the Muzarai Commissioner in respect of some points which appeared to them as errors and discrepancies---points, which I would have cleared on the spot, if I had been asked about them direct. Thus the work, which was taken up by the Comptroller early in January 1924 was dragged on for 15 months till March 1925 Certainly the grievance in this respect is more legitimately mine, who have been the real sufferer by an enforced stay at Bangalore all the while. Finally the

14. Finally. the Comptroller sent up to Government a separate set of statements noting institutionwar, the balances as worked out by me, the balances as revised by him, the Comptroller's Ledger balances and the balances proposed for final adoption. The work might have been closed even here. But the Government referred the statements to me for examination and opinion. This reference, which was received by me about March 1925, placed me in a very awkward position with the Comptroller, as it really forced me into the position of sitting in judgment over the Comptroller's work. But all the same, I had to do it; and as I could not commit myself to an implicit acceptance of the statements -- without a close scrutiny ther of, I went through the whole mass of figures institution by institution; and finding that the revisions were not, all, such as I could accept, I worked out the results of the revisions in elaborate statements and submitted them with a note explaining how matters stood, where the points of irreconcilable differences still lay, and how such differences might be settled finally. The work proved very laborous and taxing, as I had to do it myself without even a clerical help. It took me 3 months to check the statements Thukwar in details of institutions and to get up the explanatory statements exhibiting the results of the Comptroller's revisions of the worked out balances and of his in respect of the final -- balances for adoption. I submitted my note early in July 1925

15. and I had again to wait on for 5 months, in expectation of further orders. In November 1925, the Government issued an order that the statements may be placed before a Conference in view to a satisfactory settlement of the differences between the Comptroller and myself. The conference met on 9th December 1925 under the presidency of the Muzarai Commissioner and with the Deputy Comptroller, the Revenue and Muzarai Secretary and myself as members. At this conference it was decided to settle all differences in a certain way and in view to give a satisfactory finish to the whole work, some further work as below was decided upon:-

(A) That in respect of a few institutions, about 38 in number where the balances worked out by me and those booked in the -- Comptroller's Ledgers differed by more than Rs.500/- ~~The~~ The detailed compilations should be examined by the Deputy Controller or other officer sitting with me.

(B) That untraced items be traced as far as possible, preference being given to those of 1917;1918 to 1919-20 Transfer Entries and the bigger items.

(C) That the institution distribution of old investments in Pronotes be redistributed in sums of Rs.100 and multiples thereof.

(D) That the final statements of balances combining those worked up to 30th June 1920 and the results of the further credits and debits up to 30th June 1925 as worked out in the Comptroller's office, be got up in the form prescribed, adopting provisionally in the case of No. (A) above, The lower of the two figures and noting also the amounts of investments as revised (C)

~~15~~ The Deputy Comptroller who was present as a member of the conference expressed his inability to have the above additional work done in his office; he understood work. only to have the untraced items of three years 1917-8 to 1919-20 traced as also the items of transfer entries. All the other work was assigned ~~xx~~ to me; and I was asked to do as much as as possible till the end of March 1926.

16. I started work again; I got up the necessary statements for work under (A) above; I traced a fair number of untraced items from old records which I managed to ~~xxxx~~ pick out. I completed the revision of pronote investments; and as the final statement of balances were under fair copy, I was of a sudden asked to stop further work as it was considered possible to have all that done with the help of the Comptroller's office. This sudden turn took me by ~~xxxx~~ surprise particularly as I had agreed to do it, just

because the Deputy Comptroller had himself plainly stated at the conference his inability to have it done in his office. However I submitted to the decision; but as the final statements were already on hand, the Commissioner asked me to have the work completed under my supervision. The statements were completed by me and finally submitted to Nuzarai Commissioner in the month of March 1926.

17. As regards the other items of work :-

(A.) was a necessary piece of work. Though my statements were ready, they were put by because the Deputy Comptroller did not take up the work as decided at the conference. Even now, it is not too late to have the accounts of these 38 institutions examined and adopt the correct figures either in the final print of the statements or by suitable Book entries of adjustment of differences.

As regards (B) untraced items, I cannot say how far the Comptroller's office has attended to the untraced items of 1917-8 to 1919-20 and to items of transfer entries undertaken by the Deputy Comptroller at the conference. Of the other items, I have already traced a fair number and with somehelp from the Comptroller's office, the two special Deputy Accountants should be able to trace many more in a week or fortnight. But the whole work has been put by; I have not been given an opportunity even to incorporate the items which already stand traced though this work will not in itself take more than 2 or 3 days.

18 Of course all these are matters to be dealt with at the plea ure of Government; and all I wish to submit is that in pursuance of the decisions of the conference, I had to do the ~~entire~~ entire work of redistributing the old investments in sums of Rs.100 and multiples thereof and thus find funds to adjust the final debit balances brought up to 30th June 1925, the final Statements of balances were all set-up under my supervision.

19 Lastly the Government have referred to the work done for two months in overhauling the accounts of the Gumbuz and the payment of a remuneration of Rs.350/- therefor. This work has no connection whatever with the special duty. It was quite a separate piece of work which I was asked to do in October 1924. Before taking up the work, I pointed out how the Gumbuz was only a political institution handed over, sometime after the Rendition, to the administration of the Durbar on certain terms and how the institution is not a Muzarai institution proper though -- placed in charge of the Muzarai Commissioner merely for purposes of management and I agreed to do the work of this institution only if paid a separate remuneration for it; the Muzarai Commissioner accepted it; I did the work in two months November and December 1923 and I was paid Rs.350/- against Rs.500/- originally recommended.

20. To summarize, my humble representations are hereunder:-

OBSERVATIONS OF GOVERNMENT.	REPRESENTATION.
(1) An offer of services free of charge.	This was only for a limited period for work done in the months of May and June 1922. Subsequently the work was resumed only on a sort of settled understanding, that I should be paid, in addition to the compensation for privilege leave surrendered, a remuneration of Rs.1000/- to complete the work as it then stood.
(2) The work remaining to be done was merely collating information already collated and was purely of a clerical nature.	The work was really much more than this as recorded by the Comptroller himself in his unofficial note on 3rd August 1922. As the work proceeded, it was considerably increased by the orders of the

Muzarai Commissioner Mr. K.R.Srinivasa Ayyangar who wished to have the final compilations extended to major institutions also and to be got up in the two forms prescribed by him.

(3) The work has dragged on up to March 1926

The case is otherwise. I completed my task in June 1923 and submitted the final statements. All the further delay, since July 1923, has been entirely due to the verification of the statements by the Comptroller; and it was this work that was unnecessarily protracted by the Comptroller taking it up in his own way independently of me though the Government order was specifically otherwise.

Since July I have done much additional work for 11 months, as below—all under orders.

4 months. July to October 1923. Tracing of untraced items.

3 months. April to June 1923. Scrutiny of the statements of balances proposed by the Comptroller.

4 months December 25 to March 1926. Additional work assigned to me at the Muzarai conference of 9th December 1925.

I had simply to wait during the other 20 months in tip toe of expectations of references and orders.

(4) I was paid Rs.350/- for overhauling Gumbuz accounts.

This was quite an independent work; the period of 2 months November and December 1924 spent on this work has not been included in the present claim for

remuneration.

As regards the compensation for privilege leave surrendered the exact case is this.

On 31st March 1921 I was demi officially informed by the Chief Secretary that the Government had no intention of extending my services and that I might retire on 20th July 1921 when I completed 55 years of age. I at once applied for the grant of the privilege leave at my credit preparatory to or in continuation of my retirement as the Government pleased. The question of the special duty was then taken up, and I was asked to state on what terms I would take it up. My terms were that I should be given a salary of Rs.225/- besides pension and I should be compensated for the surrender of the privilege leave to the amount of the full salary of the period with or without duty allowance according to the rules on the subject. On this the Government order was passed on 1st July 1921 i.e., over 3 weeks prior to my retirement; and it was that the compensation for the leave surrendered will be considered after the completion of the work.

In July 1922, I urged the grant of the compensation in addition to a bonus as a necessary condition of my resuming further work. This was practically accepted and hence my present claim on what I have all along been given to understand as a matter of settled contract.

~~To summarize:-~~

21. My present claim may be analysed as below:-

lstly. Remuneration for the regular work done during 12 months from July 1922 to June 1923, in compiling the statements of credits, debits and balances. I resumed work in July 1922 only on a clear understanding that I should be paid a bonus of Rs.1,000/- for the work which then remained, of completing the statements relating to minor Institutions only, in addition to the compensation for privilege leave surrendered.

surrendered.

The work considerably swelled afterwards by the Muzarai Commissioner who wished to have the compilations got up in the way he prescribed, including therein all the Major Institutions as well

2ndly. some remuneration for the additional work done later on at intervals to the total period of eleven months.

(a) To trace untraced items.	4 months.
(b) To examine the statements of revised balances proposed by the Comptroller.	3 months.
(c) to do further work assigned to me at the Muzarai Conference on 9th December 1925.	4 months.
Total	11 months.

and lastly,

some consideration for my enforced stay at Bangalore extending to twenty months in all.

22. In conclusion, I beg that the Government will be graciously pleased to admit for favourable consideration the foregoing representations of mine and to re-assess the work turned out in a generous view of how I had to exert myself --particularly from July 1922 to June 1923, in the constant pressure then put upon me, to complete the compilations and to work out the balances of individual institutions as they stood on 30th June 1920, how subsequently I was made to wait for my remuneration doing some further work at intervals, and how practically I have stayed on for over three years in simple tip toe expectations of references and orders and lastly what it would have cost if the Government had put on the work exclusively a Gazetted Officer even of the 1st Grade. the matter now stands I cannot help feeling the present award as a very deep and most painful cut of the hopes, which I was all along encouraged to cherish from the moment I resumed work in July 1922 on the personal orders of the then Muzarai Member of the -- Government.

29 Aug 1926 A Srinivasaya Rao

N O T E .

I have already submitted my note on the accounts of the Hassan District. I am now working up the figures of Tumkur District and shall submit the results ere long.

As for further work, I respectfully beg leave to request that the Government will be graciously pleased to take into -- consideration how I have been working for the two months, undergoing all the expenses of Bangalore life without any remuneration; this I had to do because I felt I should not leave the results of my hard work on a special duty in a voluminous mass of rough sheets and statements whihh may not be clear to the Comptroller who had agreed to continue the work to the final stage. I felt it was my duty to bring up the results to a shape from which the object in view could be readily materialised before handing over the papers to the Comptroller.

All the materials collected by me have now been complied in clear statements. These are all complete except for institution-war details of the credits of Kadur. Mysore and Kolar District for the five years from 1900-01 to 1904-05. In this connection I request reference to my previous notes from which it will be seen that so far back as March, I requested the Deputy Commissioners to instruct the Amildars to collate the information from their records and send the statements direct to me Some of the Amildars have not yet responded, while the statements sent by others are -- either incomplete or far wide of what I had wanted. The fact is that several Amildars have failed to realise the responsibility and urgency of the work that they have consigned my requests to their gumastahs for disposal at pleasure and understanding of the latter, while a few have yet to get themselves initiated with the details of Treasury & Work and accounts and registers--particularly under the old system. It is therefore useless to rely on the Amildars to complete the information required. I would, if approved, send the accountants Mr. Subba Rao and Mr. Krishnasami Rao..each to a District and have the statements compiled locally at once. They may not be required more than a forthight for this work.

All other data have been completely collated and tabulated-

In view to show how the results of the work till now done can be materialised into final statements of Institutionwar balances. I have worked out the accounts of Hassan district completely and shall complete also the work of one other District--Tumkur.

As to completing the work of the other districts I leave the matter to the pleasure of Government. If it be the pleasure of Government that I should myself complete the work, I shall submit to the decision and I would only request that the Government will be graciously pleased to grant me some honorarium in consideration of the costly life of Bangalore in addition to the bonus already promised in consideration of the privilege leave surrendered by me when I retired from regular service in July last.

Seeing how the work has defied all forecaste of time till now, I am shy to venture any time limit to complete the work. But I may safely submit that with some clerical hold from the Muzrai Superintendent's office just to total up and fair copy the final statements, in addition to the services of the two accountants...Mr. T.Subba Rao and Mr. Krishnasami Rao---who have been working under me--all along. I shall be able to -- complete the work in a month or six weeks. In fact, with -- nothing more than a fixed honorarium, to look to, I cannot afford to lose time myself, it should be my look out that the work is completed as expeditiously as possible. I shall submit the statements of the several Districts one by one as they are completed.

But if the Government should prefer to leave the remaining work into the hands of the Comptroller, I sh ll proceed to wound up my work here and hand over to him all the statements and other compilations.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

(Muzrai Department).

ORDER No. 6185-C Mis 463-21--6

Dated Bangalore 4th May 1922.

Government are pleased to extend the term of employment of the establishment working under Mr. A.Mrityunjaya Iyer, Special Duty Officer, Muzrai Department and costing Rs.155/- per mensem till the end of May 1922.

(sd) A.V.Ramanathan.

Secretary to Government.
Muzrai Department.
(in charge).

To

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
6187/Mis 463-21-6 dated 4th May 1922.

Copy is forwarded with compliments to Mr. Mrityunja Iyer with an intimation that his offer to complete this work without claiming any pay for the additional period is accepted, and a request that he will kindly arrange to complete the work and submit his final note before the end of May 1922.

By Order,

Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.
(In change)

Proceedings of the Government of his Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:--

Government order no. 1-10/Muz. 618-20-2 dated the 1st July 1921 sanctioning the re-employment of Mr. Mrityunjaya Ayyar, B. A; from 26th July 1921 on special duty for examining the credits and debits of the Minor Muzrai Institutions on 30th June 1920 and also for the examination of the accounts and fixing the balances of the Sri Sivaganga and Vyasaraya Mutts.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. C.226 of 1922-23 dated the 17th December 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore reporting that the statements of Muzrai balances up to 30th June 1920 as worked out by the Special Duty Officer are -- under reconciliation with the figures on the Comptroller's books, and recommending that an advance bonus of Rs.750/- may be paid to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer, pending his definite recommendation to Government in the matter of his remuneration under para 4 of the Government order read above.

Order No. 1050-1/Muz. 171-23-2

Dated the Bangalore, the 5th February 1924.

In the last para of the order referred to, Government have said that Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's request about compensation for loss of privilege leave would be considered on the completion of his Special Duty to the satisfaction of Government by the date specified.

2. Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has practically completed the work assigned to him; and the statements compiled by him - showing the balances at credit of each institution are now being verified by the Comptroller. The verification is expected to take some time. Meanwhile, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has asked for an advance payment of a portion of the bonus or honorarium, which he expects to be paid to him for his Special work, as he is in urgent need of funds pending final orders of Government about the bonus to be paid to him.

3. Government are pleased to sanction the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation to pay a sum of Rs. 750/- to M Mrityunjaya Ayyar. The charge will be debited to the same sou to which the salary of Rs. 225 of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was be previously debited.

4. The final report of Mr. Mrityunjaya Ayyar about the verification of the statements worked out by him by the Comptroller may be obtained and submitted to Govern ent early.

Sd/T.Ramaiya,

for Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

No.C 226 of

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th February 1924.

Copy of the above is forwarded to Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer for information.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

83. x xx xxx xxxx xxxxx xxxxxx

Now that Mr. Subba Rao has returned to duty -- I shall leave with him the drafts of all my compilations and other records of notes etc., for purposes of reconciliation; he is -- familiar with all these compilations and notes and with th se he should be able to clear any errors, discrepancies and points of doubt which may be discovered in the compilations now sub itted.

84. In short, without dilating further, I may submit in one word that I have been at this work incessantly without -- leisure or holiday till now and I have exerted my best to bring it to a satisfactory conclusion as early as was possible amidst difficulties detailed above.

85. I have been working without pay since 1st May 1922 two months out of this period may be set off against an offer to bring up the compilations to an intelligible state free of --- remuneration. All further work after the submission of my note about the accounts of the Hassan district in July 1922 I have been doing only on the hopes of payment of a suitable honorarium --- extended to me thereon.

86. I leave it to the discretion of the Government to gauge the magnitude of the ork which I had to do since then -- and to graciously extend to me such remuneration and appreciation as in the r pleasure they may deem me deserving of in addition to the pay of the period of the privilege leave surrendered by me on the date of my retirement from the regular service.

(Sd) A. Mrityunjaya Ayyar.
Special Duty Officer.

U.O. No. 319 TAD.B. dated 3-6-1922.

In G.O. No. 1-10/Muz.618-20-2 dated 1-7-1921 Mr. Mrit-unjaya Iyer was required to verify the figures of this ffice with those maintained in the Taluk and District, rom the date of last verification of balances, and to de-ermine the correct balances of each individual institution

2. The figures of this office are based on the treasur redits and debits and have to be reconciled with the per-onal accounts of the institutions maintained by the admi-istrators of the institutions. This work has been en-rusted to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer and it may be continued and ompleted by him till he determines the final balance of ach Muz. Institution in the State. He may thereafter for-ard to this office a statement of differences and discre-ancies observed for adjustment or rectification and final doption of the balances as worked out by him with any mod-fications that may be necessary. It will not be possible for this office to undertake the work now entrusted to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer.

The remuneration of Rs.1,000/- proposed by the Muz. Superintendent appears to me reasonable.

Comptroller.

656

Muz 211-25-9 8-30-9-26

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

No C226 dated 7-7-26

With reference to your letter forwarding a representation from Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer in regard to his remuneration fixed in my letter No. 32/Muz.211-25-9 dated the 7th July 1926, I am desired to state that the Government do not consider it necessary to increase the amount, viz., Rs.2250 which has been arrived at after ~~fully~~ taking into consideration all the work done by him since May 1922.

I have &c.

Revenue Secretary to Govt.

Muz 30-9-26